

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/068,016	02/05/2002	Gregg D. Givens	5218-88	7225
20792 7590 01/08/2004			EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			MCCROSKY, DAVID J	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NO	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3736	$\mathcal{Q}$
			DATE MAILED: 01/08/2004	4 0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	T 4				
<b>—</b> .	Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/068,016	GIVENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David J. McCrosky	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, ply within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX ( e, cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	Ciccion requirement	•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be about the specific and th	cepted or b) object e drawing(s) be held in a ction is required if the di	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language processes and the first sentence of the first	nts have been receivents have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) tof the certified copie tic priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application tic priority under 35 Urst sentence of the sprovisional application	d. d in Application No been received in this National S b. s not received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional a becification or in an Application E has been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-er:			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-37, 47-49 and 55, drawn to a method of generating hearing assessment signals for performing a hearing test, classified in class 600, subclass 559.
- II. Claims 38-46, 50-54 and 56-59, drawn to a method of using web pages to conduct a hearing test, classified in class 600, subclass 300.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Land II are related as combination-and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because a web server is not required. The subcombination has separate utility such as remote hearing tests where web pages are used to communicate with the patient.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Should Applicant elect Invention I, this invention contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A) a method of testing

Application/Control Number: 10/068,016

Art Unit: 3736

hearing with patient indication of an audible signal (claims 13-17, 31-36 and 47-49); and B) a method of testing hearing with pressure/otoacoustic measurement (claims 11 and 55) with claims 1-10, 12, and 18-30 generic to both species.

Should Applicant elect Invention II, this invention contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: A) controlling operation of a hearing test with a server/client arrangement (claims 38-46 and 50-54); B) controlling an electrophysiological auditory evaluation test using otoacoustic emissions (claim 56); and C) a hearing test with a web server configured to host socket connections (claims 57-59).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/068,016

Art Unit: 3736

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J. McCrosky whose telephone number is 703-305-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max F. Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9302.

Application/Control Number: 10/068,016

Art Unit: 3736

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

DJM

ERICE WINAKUR PRIMARY EXAMINER